

Step Therapy Reform: Categorical Exceptions

What is step therapy?

Step therapy policies, also referred to as "fail first," require insured individuals to try and fail on alternative treatments, sometimes with adverse effects, before the insurer or pharmacy benefit manager will cover the prescribed treatment.

Why is it a problem?

Step therapy policies can be unethical and inconsistent with sound scientific and clinical evidence, resulting in interference with the practitioner-patient relationship and significant delays in access to prescribed treatments.

For some patients, step therapy requirements can be especially burdensome because they need individualized care, and taking alternative treatments may not be in their best interest.¹ This could be due to lack of efficacy, lack of therapeutic equivalence, the patient's preference regarding the medication and its associated side effects, or clinical characteristics unique to the patient that necessitate one treatment over another.² Yet, the time that the patient spends trying and failing on the alternative treatment could cause the patient's condition to progress or relapse due to the treatment's ineffectiveness.³

For example, patients with progressive diseases, such as cancer or macular degeneration, may need immediate access to specific treatments depending on comorbidities, potential medication interactions, or intolerances.⁴ If these patients do not receive that particular treatment, their condition could progress, which could result in death in the case of cancer and irreversible blindness in the case of macular degeneration.⁵

What is the current law?

On December 31, 2016, NY passed its step-therapy law, which includes the following key protections:

- Utilization review agents must utilize evidence-based and peer-reviewed clinical review criteria when establishing a step therapy protocol
- The clinical review criteria must be appropriate for the patient's medical condition when conducting the utilization review
- An exception request must be approved within 72 hours if:
 - The required treatment is likely to cause harm to the patient
 - The required treatment is expected to be ineffective

¹ <u>https://aimedalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Aimed-Alliance-Primary-Care-Survey-Report.pdf</u>

² <u>https://aimedalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Aimed-Alliance-Step-Therapy-in-Medicare-Advantage-Plans-Fact-Sheet.pdf</u>

³ <u>https://aimedalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Aimed-Alliance-Step-Therapy-in-Medicare-Advantage-Plans-Fact-Sheet.pdf</u>

⁴ <u>https://www.asrs.org/advocacy/step-therapy</u>

⁵ <u>https://www.asrs.org/advocacy/step-therapy</u>

- The patient has tried and failed on the required treatment while enrolled in their current or previous health plan
- The patient is stable on a treatment
- The required treatment is not in the patient's best interest
- An exception request must be approved within 24 hours if a patient has a medical condition that places his or her health in serious jeopardy without the treatment prescribed by the patient's health care professional
- If a utilization review agent fails to act on a step therapy protocol exception request within the required timeframe, the exception request is deemed approved⁶

The law became effective on January 1, 2017 and required compliance of plans issued or renewed after January 1, 2017 (i.e., full compliance by January 1, 2018). The current law applies to all state-regulated commercial plans, such as exchange plans, Medicaid managed care plans, and Child Health Plus plans.

What are we requesting?

Aimed Alliance is requesting a prohibition of step therapy policies for the following therapeutic categories in which step therapy could result in serious disease progression and potentially limit the ability of the patient to obtain optimal outcomes:

- a. Dermatology
- b. Gastroenterology
- c. Hematology
- d. Neurology
- e. Rheumatology
- f. Oncology
- g. Ophthalmology

Why are we requesting it?

Patients with complex health conditions have historically faced challenges in obtaining coverage for medically necessary treatments and medical services individualized to their needs. Step therapy, in particular, interferes with the prescriber-patient relationship and delays access to necessary care, which can negatively impact patients' health. Additionally, despite the New York step therapy law, a survey conducted by Aimed Alliance showed that New York health care practitioners still find the step therapy process to be burdensome and harmful for patients because insurers do not comply with the current law. For example, only 45 percent of survey respondents felt that the New York's step therapy law had improved patients' ability to access their medications.

This is especially problematic for individuals with progressive diseases that require timely access to their prescribed treatment to avoid advancing the condition and potentially causing irreversible harm. The conditions for which Aimed Alliance is requesting the prohibition of step therapy are conditions which step therapy could result in serious disease progression and potentially limit the ability of the patient to obtain optimal outcomes.

⁶ N.Y. INS. LAW § 4903, available at <u>https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/ISC/4903</u>.

Prescriber Prevails as Precedent

In 2013, New York passed a Prescriber Prevails law which provides prescribers with the final say in disputes regarding certain classes of prescription medications under the Managed Care Preferred Drug Program.⁷ The law is noteworthy as an example in which certain progressive disease states were carved out and individuals with those particular conditions received added protections.

 7 N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law § 364-j(25) and (25-a) (2020).